UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	BERRY, individually and sof similarly situated	CAGE NO. C 07.5200 (CW)
individuale	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C-07-5298 (CW)
V. MBLOX, INC., a Delaware corporation,		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	el report that they have met a ulation pursuant to Civil L.R	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	ree to participate in the follow	wing ADR process:
Court	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (Alearly Neutral Evaluation (Elementation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably m ADR phone co	ore likely to meet their needs	ttlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is s than any other form of ADR, must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for the ule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Privat ✓	e Process: Private ADR (please identif	ly process and provider)
	and are currently engaged in prelim	minary settlement discussions. The parties have agreed to be determined by agreement of the parties.
The parties ag		by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
	other requested deadline	
Dated: 1/29/200	08	/s/ TERRY M. GORDON Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 1/29/200	08	/s/ CHUNG-HAN LEE Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

X Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

1/30/08

Dated:_____

Chidialeit

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE